

**Minutes of a Meeting of the Planning Committee  
held in the Parish Office, Riverside, Bishopstoke  
commencing at 7.00pm on 2 January 2020**

**Present:** Cllrs Brown (Chair), Dean (from Para 160.3), Greenwood and Toher

**In Attendance:** Mr D Wheal (Clerk to Bishopstoke Parish Council)  
Cllr Harris (Bishopstoke Parish Council)

**Public Attendance:** 0 members of the public were present

**PLAN\_1920\_M16/**

**Public Session**

**156 Apologies for Absence**

156.1 Apologies had been received and were accepted from Cllr Francis.

**157 To adopt as a true record, and sign, the Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 10 December 2019**

157.1 The Minutes of the above meeting had been circulated prior to the meeting.

157.2 Proposed Cllr Toher, Seconded Cllr Greenwood, **RESOLVED** unanimously that the minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on 10 December 2019 be accepted as a true record.

**158 To consider Matters Arising from the above Minutes not covered elsewhere on the agenda**

158.1 The Clerk noted that the response from the Borough Council to the Committee's concerns had been circulated and would be added to the agenda of the next Planning Committee meeting.

**Action: Clerk**

**159 Declarations of Interest and Requests for Dispensations**

159.1 None declared or requested.

**160 Consideration of Planning Applications**

160.1 H/19/86945 – 9 Bolderwood Close– Two storey rear extension, single storey side extension and alterations to fenestration – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to this application but wished to raise a concern regarding potential loss of parking.

160.2 T/19/87061 – Land adjacent to 6 Brasher Close – 1 no. Oak (T1) - Reduce the height of the tree by a further 2 metres beyond the previous points, reshape and re-balance the crown by reducing the lateral growth by up to 2 metres. Remove the 2 lowest laterals that extend towards the house back to the main stem – The Committee agreed to object on the grounds that this appears to be unnecessary work on a healthy tree.

160.3 F/19/86707 – Southampton International Airport – Construction of a 164 metre runway extension at the northern end of the existing runway, associated blast screen to the north of the proposed runway extension, removal of existing bund and the reconfiguration and extension of existing long stay car parking to the east and west of Mitchell Way to provide an additional 600 spaces. (This application is subject to an Environmental Impact Assessment) – The Committee agreed to object to this application. Grounds for objection were the increased noise levels over Bishopstoke due to the longer, lower take off routes; the increased traffic levels along Southampton Road which already suffers from poor air quality and high pollution levels; the submitted Local Plan states that there is a need to address pollution from vehicle emissions and this airport expansion will only serve to increase traffic; the proposed route for traffic from the new road proposed in the submitted Local Plan is down Bishopstoke Lane and this will only increase that traffic; both the Borough Council and Bishopstoke Parish Council have declared a Climate Change Emergency – an airport expansion goes contrary to the aims stated by both Councils in terms of climate change; vehicle access to the airport is not sufficient to deal with the increase in traffic which will mean more delays and more pollution and finally there appear to be no plans to improve the existing road network – either national or local – to take account of the increase in traffic that would result from this expansion. Additionally, the Committee would like to see a carbon mitigation plan and a clarification of the proposed road going from one side of the airport to the other – especially with regard to how it fits in with any proposed Chickenhall Lane link road.

## **161 Report on recent planning decisions**

161.1 F/19/86166 – 27 Haig Road – Construction of detached 3-bedroom dwellinghouse with associated car parking, following removal of existing outbuildings – After careful consideration the Committee decided that they would not object to this application. However, concerns were raised over the appropriateness of a two storey house in amongst smaller dwellings. The Committee wished to add a comment that they would have preferred to see something more in keeping with the existing street scene and that whilst it is true to say that some 1.5 storey buildings do exist on Charden Road they are not immediate neighbours of bungalows like the proposed dwelling would be. The Committee also noted a contradiction between the application form and the supporting documents over whether soakaways would be used and asked for clarification on that point – the Borough Council permitted the application.

161.2 H/19/86593 – 6 Edward Avenue – Single storey side extension – The Committee agreed to Raise No Objection to this application – The Borough Council permitted this application.

161.3 H/19/86614 – 32 Hartley Road – Two and single storey rear extension, dormers to side elevations and rooflights to the South roof slope - the Committee agreed to Raise No Objection but wished to comment that the description of the work given is different to that on the application form – The Borough Council permitted this application.

161.4 F/19/86703 – Breach lane Access Bishopstoke Road Church Lane– Erection of 3no entrance piers – Cllrs agreed to object to this application on the grounds that it is not in keeping with the surrounding street scene, gives a false impression that this is a main entrance to Anchor Park and would consequently increase traffic movements down Breach Lane. Additionally, councillors questioned whether the applicant has ownership of the highway at that point – The Borough Council refused permission for this application.

161.5 H/19/86837 – 3 Blackberry Drive– Single storey rear and side extension amendment – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to this application but wished to add to their previous comments to the Borough Council in that this amendment to an application was given a new planning number (as the Committee believes it should) whereas another application considered recently kept the initial planning number – the Borough Council permitted this application.

161.6 H/19/86813 – 65 Fair Oak Road– Remove Garage and existing small extensions and Sheds and Erect a Two storey Side Extension and a Single storey Rear Extension – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to this application – the Borough Council permitted this application.

161.7 H/19/86722 – 9 Burrow Hill Place – New porch to front elevation – The Committee agreed to raise no objection to the application – the Borough Council permitted this application.

**162 Clerk's Report**

162.1 The Clerk indicated he had nothing further to report.

**163 Date, time, place and agenda items for next meeting**

163.1 The next regular meeting will be on Tuesday 14<sup>th</sup> January 2020, at 7:00pm. The doors will be open at 6:45pm for viewing of applications.

163.2 Any agenda items should be submitted in writing to the Clerk by Monday 6<sup>th</sup> January.

**164 Motion for Confidential Business**

164.1 Proposed Cllr Brown, Seconded Cllr Toher, **RESOLVED** unanimously that in view of the confidential nature of the business about to be discussed relating to possible breaches of planning regulation it is advisable in the public interest that the public be excluded and for the record the business be regarded as confidential.

**165 Reported Breaches of Development Control (Confidential Business)**

165.1 The Clerk reported three new alleged breaches of Development Control.

165.2 The Clerk reported three concluded breaches of Development Control.

165.3 Cllrs reported no additional items of confidential business

*There being no further business, the Chair closed the meeting at 7.45pm*

Chair's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_